### QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION  
  
#### EXTRACTION PHASE:  
\*\*Key Information from Conversation:\*\*  
1. Patient M engaged in setting reminders and understanding commands with Alexa.  
2. Patient M enjoys listening to sports, playing adaptive video games, and listening to music.  
3. Interaction methods include auditory descriptions and tactile feedback.  
4. Attempts to use Alexa for setting reminders and playing music.  
5. Caregiver assists with tasks and adaptations.  
6. Mention of use of streaming services for music and Netflix.  
  
#### VERIFICATION PHASE:  
1. \*\*Present in Note:\*\*  
 - Patient M’s enjoyment with setting reminders.  
 - Use of adaptive games and music listening.  
 - Caregiver’s assistance and use of tactile feedback.  
 - Interest in using technology for entertainment.  
   
2. \*\*Missing from Note:\*\*  
 - Specific interactions during the session (e.g., Alexa’s responses to commands).  
 - Mention of Patient M’s precise technological preferences and devices (e.g., what exact services were discussed such as Spotify, Netflix).  
 - Reaction to certain failed commands.  
   
3. \*\*Contradicted in Note:\*\*  
 - None identified.  
  
#### METRIC CALCULATION:  
1. \*\*Missing Information\*\*: 3 points missing (-1.5 points)  
2. \*\*Unsupported Statements\*\*: 1 point present that lacks support (-1 point)  
3. \*\*Inconsistencies\*\*: None  
4. \*\*Vague/Generic Documentation\*\*: 4 instances (-2 points)  
 - E.g., "Social History" doesn't specify interactions sufficiently.  
 - Several generic reflections on therapy/assistive interactions without specific details.  
5. \*\*Improper Terminology or Formatting\*\*: No evident issues  
  
#### SECTION SCORING:  
1. \*\*Subjective (S)\*\*: 7/10  
 - Deductions for missing specifics and vague descriptions.  
2. \*\*Objective (O)\*\*: 8/10  
 - Lacks some detail on exact session interactions.  
3. \*\*Assessment (A)\*\*: 7/10  
 - Lacks specific differential discussions and exact evaluations of task success.  
4. \*\*Plan (P)\*\*: 8/10  
 - Relatively well-defined but somewhat generic guidance lowers specificity.  
  
#### TOTAL DEDUCTIONS:   
- Missing Information: -1.5  
- Unsupported Statements: -1  
- Vague/Generic Documentation: -2  
  
\*\*Total Deductions\*\*: 4.5 points  
  
#### CAPS APPLICATION:  
- No major clinical inconsistency occurred, not applicable.  
- No SOAP section is missing.  
  
#### FINAL CALCULATION:  
SOAP Note Score = MIN(10, 10 - total\_deductions, lowest\_section\_score + 2, all\_applicable\_caps)  
  
= MIN(10, 10 - 4.5, 7 + 2)  
  
= MIN(10, 5.5, 9)  
  
Final Score: 5.5  
  
### FINAL RATING:  
\*\*5.5/10\*\*